



Budgeting for Result Commission

Friday, October 5, 2018

1:30PM-3:30PM

Meeting Location

Chicago – James R. Thompson Center, 100 W Randolph, Governor’s Office 16th Floor

Springfield – Stratton Building 500 1/2

Dial-In: 888-806-4788 Access Code: 895-685-1121#

Attendance

Chicago: Jim Lewis, Sen. Heather Steans

Springfield: Curt Clemons-Mosby, Adam Groner, Kate Mayer, Jennifer Butler, Cory Burris, Courtney Peterson, Kathy Saltmarsh

Phone: Mischa Fisher, Mark Flowers, Rep. William Davis

Various state agency representatives including Chief Results Officers (CROs) were also in attendance in Springfield, Chicago and by phone.

1. Welcome and Introductions (Jim Lewis)

Introductions by the Commission and state agencies were made.

2. Review and Approval of Minutes (Jim)

The minutes were approved without comment.

3. Recap of CRO Convening 9/25/18 (Curt Clemons-Mosby)

Curt recapped the CRO convening held in Springfield.

Convening Takeaways

The convening, which took place at the IEMA building, was co-hosted (didn’t think they could “sponsor” for legal reasons) by Pew-MacArthur Results First, and included presentations by Results First staff and by the BFR Unit staff. A breakout session allowed CROs/CFOs/other agency leaders to discuss their programs, program measurements and IPRS reporting among themselves. The meeting was well-attended, and Curt received positive feedback from attendees.

Participants noted that agencies want to present more outcome-based performance results in IPRS, but they have constrained resources (personnel, finances, etc). Information sharing across agency also poses challenges. Oftentimes, one agency needs information from another agency to produce thorough outcomes on program performance. work. This information can be difficult to track because the data don’t always follow individuals receiving services from multiple agencies.

The Commission discussed the process for data collection at the agency level. Data is collected through intake. As services are delivered, the agency collects additional information. Captured data may not be formatted in a way that is easily accessible for meaningful analysis. In a BFR-related engagement Curt will be addressing the lack of cross-agency data sharing agreements and current restrictions on data sharing.

A meeting participant asked if there is a conflict of interest when the same person serves as the CFO and CRO. Curt explained that many agencies have the same person in this dual role. The CRO must address both the program and the finance role. He emphasized that the most important component of BFR is linking programs to their funding, therefore it is helpful to have the person carrying out the CRO role to be well-versed in the agency’s programs and budget / spending. Curt stated that because the agency director, CFO, and other high level agency staff are working together on BFR metrics, they collectively provide separation of duties or checks and balances on the personal interests of any one individual.

4. Annual Mandates Reduction Initiative (Curt)

Vote on mandate recommendations from working group

Curt stated that the BFR Commission has passed 210 mandate repeals and modifications to date. The BFR Unit asked agencies to submit to the Commission their mandate requests for 2018. In August, GOMB completed a preliminary review of the submissions. The list of mandates was submitted to the Commission’s mandates working group and is now submitted to the full Commission for review. Curt thanked all legislative staff in both chambers of the legislature who assisted with this overall process.

The Commission heard and commented on the following statutory mandate recommendations:

	Agency Name	Statutory Reference	Agency Recommendation	Working Group Recommendation
1	601 - BHE	110 ILCS 205/9.28	Repeal	YES
2	444 - DHS	305 ILCS 5/12-4.7b. Exchange of information; inmates	Provide language that requires the Sheriff’s Departments to honor the request of IDHS to enter into an intergovernmental agreement, and provide DHS with the necessary incarceration data.	YES / Place in Stand-alone Bill
3	546 - ICJIA	20 ILCS 3930/7.2 Custodial Interview Pilot Program	Repeal	YES
4	546 - ICJIA	20 ILCS 3930/7.5 Grants for Electronic Recording Equipment	Repeal	YES

5	452 - DOL	Prevailing Wage Ordinance Resolutions	The mandate should be modified to exclude local governments which adopt IDOL rates by default.	YES / Stand-alone Bill
6	588 - IEMA	20 ILCS 3310/75	Given the agencies previous attempts to repeal this measure, IEMA recommends modifying the provision to make the requirement subject to appropriation.	YES
7	586 - ISBE	105 ILCS 5/34-21.4	Repeal	YES / Pending follow-up answer from Agency
8	493 - ISP	20 ILCS 2605/2605-580	Repeal.	YES
9	493 - ISP	110 ILCS 947/65.80	This legislation fails to consider the Illinois Personnel Code, CMS testing, collective bargaining agreements, ISP background checks, etc.	YES / Stand-alone Bill
10	493 - ISP	730 ILCS 180/	Yes, the Illinois State Police is in compliance.	YES / Stand-alone Bill
11	493 - ISP	Omnibus fee fund cleanup	The purpose of this initiative is to consolidate and streamline our funds in the State Finance Act. In various accounts there is either no spending authority or appropriation; low balance and limited to certain areas of the state.	YES / Stand-alone Bill
12	493 - ISP	730 ILCS 5/3-14-1.5	Yes, the Illinois State Police is in compliance.	YES / Stand-alone Bill
13	493 - ISP	20 ILCS 2605/2605-40	Yes, the Illinois State Police is in compliance.	YES / Stand-alone Bill
14	156 - Architect of the Office	20 ILCS 3015/ Illinois Construction Evaluation Act	Repeal.	YES
15	579 - Racing Board	230 ILCS 5/27(f) – Inter-track wagering location licensee admission tax to local governments	The mandate should be modified.	YES / Conditional on no opposition report from Agency
16	494 -IDOT	30 ILCS 505/8.4(d).	This mandate should be modified.	YES
17	494 -IDOT	70 ILCS 3615/4.03.3(h), 70 ILCS 3615/4.09(i).	Amend to specify that the amount from the Public Transportation Fund paid to the RTA would be net of the amounts paid to the Audit Expense Fund and the	YES / Place in BIMP

			amounts used by the OEIG from their appropriations from the fund.	
--	--	--	---	--

Motion to adopt all recommendations for each of the 17 items. Vote passed.

Vote on fund clean-up recommendations from working group

- Items 1-4 – Technical changes to clean up statutory language. **Vote to adopt passed.**
- Items 5-27 – Repeal unused statutory authority for funds that have completed their purposes by statute but remain on the books. **Vote to adopt passed.**
- Items 28-35 – Repeal unused statutory authority for funds that were never utilized, and are therefore defunct. **Vote to adopt passed.**
- Item 36 – Clean-up item to repeal an obsolete legislative Advisory Committee on Block Grants. **Vote to adopt passed.**
- Items 37-39 – Clean-up items relating to whistleblower funds. We have not received a formal approval from AG office, but their CFO gave a “soft” approval of these items. **Vote to adopt passed.**
- Item 40 – Repeal unused statutory authority for defunct Capital Litigation Trust Fund. **Vote to adopt passed.**

Cory Burriss noted that an “N/A” as a fund’s “Current Balance” indicates that the fund is inactive according to the comptroller’s office.

5. BFR Commission annual report (Curt)

The BFR unit will submit a draft of the report to the Commission for comments by COB on October 15th. The Commission will discuss the draft on October 19th at 1:30 via teleconference. The Commission will vote on the report at our October 26th meeting. The final draft will be filed by the statutory deadline on Nov. 1st.

Format of the report

1. Letter from BFR Co-chairs
2. List of BFR commissioners
3. List of BFR support staff
4. Executive summary
5. Introduction
6. Progress report
7. Stakeholder engagement (recap of public hearings)
8. Progress update on 2017 BFR Commission recommendations
9. 2018 BFR Commission recommendations
10. Conclusion
11. Appendices

Discussion and final vote on annual recommendations

1. Explore opportunities to improve efficiencies in program assessment. Submitted by Commissioner Lewis.
2. Explore the feasibility of an “Impact Note” that could be attached to legislation (similar to the existing Fiscal Note). Submitted by Commissioner Lewis.

A participant asked what “impact” would be analyzed. Commissioner Lewis recommends there be additional conversation in the upcoming year about including an impact note when legislation is considered. Another participant asked if GOMB has the staff to fulfill this responsibility. Curt stated that capacity and timeliness are concerns if GOMB performed these duties. Jennifer B. stated that Results First reported at the CRO convening that some states require a performance impact or evidence of performance accompany program and funding recommendations.

Rep. Davis expressed concern that this type of analysis may not have an equitable and fair impact on program staff. It was clarified that this recommendation suggests a need for more dialog to determine if and how an Impact Note could be utilized. The recommendation does not seek to mandate the use of an Impact Note.

3. Explore the feasibility of bringing in a geospatial tool that visualizes the utilization of resources. The concentration of need could be mapped to the proximity of service location, for example. Submitted by Commissioner Saltmarsh.

One participant suggested using a needs atlas. Another participant noted that this recommendation would require extra investment in personnel and equipment.

4. Maintain financial support for BFR staffing.

Additional BFR staff have been added to the BFR Unit in the last two years to help with program analysis. Jennifer B. stated that it is important to maintain BFR funding through the appropriation process. Financial support is necessary to maintain staffing.

Motion to adopt all recommendations. Vote passed.

6. Update on Results First and SPART (Adam Groner)

Update on IDJJ program assessments

Adam stated that BFR is at the end of the data collection phase with DJJ. Marginal costs have been calculated for one juvenile within the DJJ system. Program costs have been mapped out by analyzing contracts that DJJ has for specific services rendered. If possible, a DJJ report will be included in the 2018 BFR annual report. A visit the DJJ facility at Pere Marquette is scheduled to assist with analyzing the DJJ substance abuse program.

Next Wave: Substance Use Disorder

The next program assessments will look at Substance Use Disorder (SUD) programs across agencies. First step will be to analyze data collection. The objective is a comprehensive look at the benefits received from each program.

Curt stated that the BFR Unit is targeting a report in late October on DJJ's SUD programs. These program assessment reports will be referenced within the body of the annual report.

7. Update on U of I request for electronic database access (Curt)

Curt has initiated the BFR Commission's request to access the U of I's electronic database for academic studies and program evaluations. The university has responded positively. Further updates will be provided at an upcoming meeting.

8. New Business (Curt)

Curt shared that we are creating Tableau data visualization through the GOMB website. Tableau will allow the FY19 proposed and final budget to be displayed with drill up and down capabilities. A fall release is anticipated. Jennifer suggested a demo in an upcoming BFR commission meeting, preferably the December meeting.

Curt also announced that BFR Commission appointments for 2019 are in process.

9. Adjournment

BFR Public meeting adjourned at 2:45 pm.